

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,825	01/11/2002	Yasunori Takada	56769 (71526)	2372
21874	7590 01/12/2006		EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1616	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/030,825	TAKADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edward J. Webman	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 August 2004. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 is/are withdrawn fro 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/030,825

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant's election of matrix type patch in the reply filed on 8/10/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out any errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO96/04902 (equivalent US 5,795,916 to Sekine et al) in view of Inagi et al.

Sekine et al teach a plaster of high stability comprising a salt of diclofenac (abstract). 0.05-5% is specified (column 2 lines 65-55). Antiseptics, including benzalkonium chloride, are disclosed (column 4 lines 41-44). Adhesion with polyacrylic acid is disclosed (column 3 lines 42-46).

Inagi et al teach an adhesive plaster comprising an acrylic polymer (abstract).

Antiseptics such as benzalkonium chloride, are disclosed at 0.01-5% (column 7 lines 22-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to make a plaster comprising diclofenac and benzalkonium chloride to achieve the beneficial effect of high stability in view of Sekine et al. As to the amount of benzalkonium chloride, Inagi et al disclose a suitable amount in a plaster.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,825

Art Unit: 1616

As to the claimed ratio, it is argued that Sekine et al disclose 5% diclofenac and Inagi et al disclose 5% for benzalkonium chloride. The 1:1 ratio of the ranges of diclofenac and benzalkonium chloride falls within the claimed ratio.

Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6 "matrix-type" is vague; it is unclear as to whether a matrix is definitely claimed. In claim 9 "styylene" is indefinite. Is styrene intended?

No claims are allowed. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan, can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/030,825 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDWARD J. WEBNING PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500